

Electric Chern-Simons term, enlarged exotic Galilei symmetry and noncommutative plane

Mariano A. del Olmo^{a*} and Mikhail S. Plyushchay^{b†}

^a*Departamento de Física, Universidad de Valladolid*

E-47011, Valladolid, Spain

^b*Departamento de Física, Universidad de Santiago de Chile*

Casilla 307, Santiago 2, Chile

Abstract

The extended exotic planar model for a charged particle is constructed. It includes a Chern-Simons-like term for a dynamical electric field, but produces usual equations of motion for the particle in background constant uniform electric and magnetic fields. The electric Chern-Simons term is responsible for the non-commutativity of the boost generators in the ten-dimensional enlarged exotic Galilei symmetry algebra of the extended system. The model admits two reduction schemes by the integrals of motion, one of which reproduces the usual formulation for the charged particle in external constant electric and magnetic fields with associated field-deformed Galilei symmetry, whose commuting boost generators are identified with the nonlocal in time Noether charges reduced on-shell. Another reduction scheme, in which electric field transmutes into the commuting space translation generators, extracts from the model a free particle on the noncommutative plane described by the two-fold centrally extended Galilei group of the non-relativistic anyons.

1 Introduction

Nowadays, the questions on symmetries of noncommutative systems and their relation to the Poincaré and Galilei symmetries are the hot topics [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. A simple example of such a system is a model of a particle in a noncommutative plane [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. In a free case the model is described by the two-fold centrally extended exotic Galilei symmetry with noncommuting boost generators [13]. Recently it was observed that a *free* non-relativistic anyon (NR-anyon) can be identified with a free planar particle with noncommuting coordinates [9, 10, 11]. In its evolution the NR-anyon reveals, like a Dirac particle, a nonrelativistic Zitterbewegung superimposed on a translation motion [10, 11]. As a result, the free NR-anyon motion turns out to be similar to the evolution law of a charged particle in external constant homogeneous electric and magnetic fields (further on, EM-particle), with a translation motion of the NR-anyon recognized as an analog of a Hall drift motion of the EM-particle. It is well known that the EM-particle model also reveals the elements of noncommutative geometry: its guiding center coordinates are noncommuting. However, unlike the free NR-anyon, the EM-particle is described by the broken, field-deformed Galilei symmetry with noncommuting translation generators [14]. Therefore, a natural question appears on the relation between these two essentially different systems and their symmetries in the light of the dynamical similarity.

In the present paper, we construct the extended exotic model (*Ex*-model), which unifies the both systems in a nontrivial way. The “unification” is achieved by making the electric field to be

*E-mail: olmo@fta.uva.es

†E-mail: mplyushc@lauca.usach.cl

dynamical, and by including an electric Chern-Simons-like term into Lagrangian of the EM-particle (see Eq. (4.8)).

The obtained system is characterized by the following properties. The extension of the model does not change equations of motion of the initial EM-particle but transforms its nonlocal Noether charges associated with the Galilei boost and rotation symmetries into the local integrals of motion. Lagrangian (4.8) of the extended system looks formally as a sum of Lagrangians of the EM-particle and of the NR-anyon of *zero* mass, with electric field playing the role of the momentum for the latter subsystem. The two subsystems are coupled, however, by means of the electric interaction term of the first subsystem and of the kinetic term for the second subsystem coordinates conjugate to the electric field variables. These two terms form together the Lagrangian constraint, which identifies the *commutative* configuration (x -) space of the first subsystem to be tangent to the *noncommutative* configuration (q -) space of the second subsystem. The switching off interaction in the first subsystem by putting the particle's charge to be equal to zero, reduces the extended system to the decoupled sum of the two free non-relativistic planar models — the usual massive scalar particle and the free exotic particle of Duval and Horvathy [8] of zero mass.

The symmetry of the extended model can be identified as a ten-dimensional enlarged exotic Galilei group. In comparison with the planar Galilei-Maxwell symmetry discussed earlier [15, 16], it includes two additional generators associated with the circular motion of the EM-particle, whose analogs in the NR-anyon model are responsible for the Zitterbewegung. The electric Chern-Simons term induces the non-commutativity of the Galilei boost generators of the Ex -model. The Galilei symmetry acts in the x - as well as in q - spaces, while the electric field, responsible for the Hall drift motion in the x -space, acts nontrivially only in the q -space, where it generates translations. Additional circular motion vector integral is invariant with respect to the boosts and x - and q -translations.

The obtained system admits two reduction schemes by the integrals of motion, one of which reproduces the EM-particle with associated field-deformed Galilei symmetry, whose commuting boost generators are identified with the nonlocal in time Noether charges reduced on-shell. Another reduction scheme, in which the electric field transmutes into the commuting space translation generators, extracts from the model a free massive particle on the noncommutative plane [8] described by the two-fold centrally extended Galilei group of the non-relativistic anyons.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we analyze the minimal and extended formulations for the free non-relativistic anyon, and observe the enlargement of the exotic planar Galilei symmetry by the integrals of motion associated with the Zitterbewegung. In the third Section we consider the charged particle in external constant uniform electric and magnetic fields. We compare the dynamics of the model with that of the free NR-anyon in extended formulation, and analyze in detail its symmetries corresponding to the field-deformed Galilei algebra. In particular, we observe how the *nonlocal* Noether charges associated with the boosts and rotations are transformed on shell into the *local* integrals of motion generating the complicated field-deformed symmetry transformations, which take the form of the usual Galilei boosts and rotations under switching off the electromagnetic coupling. In the fourth Section, we construct the extended exotic model and analyze its symmetries. In Section 5 we consider the two Hamiltonian reduction schemes, which relate the extended system to the EM-particle and to the NR-anyon models. The last Section is devoted to the discussion and concluding remarks.

2 NR-anyon: symmetries, motion, coordinates

The exotic two-fold centrally extended planar Galilei group [13, 8, 11] can be given by the Poisson bracket relations of the generators of the space, $\mathcal{P}_i$, and time, $\mathcal{H}$, translations, of the rotations, $\mathcal{J}$, and boosts, $\mathcal{K}_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_j\} &= 0, & \{\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{P}_j\} &= m\delta_{ij}, & \{\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{K}_j\} &= -\kappa\epsilon_{ij}, \\ \{\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}_i\} &= \epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{P}_j, & \{\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K}_i\} &= \epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{K}_j, \\ \{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_i\} &= -\mathcal{P}_i, & \{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{P}_i\} &= 0, & \{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{J}\} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

The parameters m and κ play the role of the two central charges of the algebra (2.1), characterized by the two Casimir elements [11]

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{K}_i\mathcal{P}_j - m\mathcal{J} - \kappa\mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{P}_i^2 - 2m\mathcal{H}. \quad (2.2)$$

There are two different but related possibilities to realize the algebra (2.1) as a symmetry of the NR-anyon: the minimal and the extended ones¹. In view of the first relation from (2.1), the space translation generators can be identified as particle's canonical momenta, $\mathcal{P}_i = p_i$. Then, in minimal realization the coordinates of the particle are reconstructed from the boost and space translation generators,

$$\mathcal{X}_i = \frac{1}{m}\mathcal{K}_i - \theta\epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{P}_j + \frac{1}{m}\mathcal{P}_i t, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\theta = \frac{\kappa}{m^2}.$$

This guarantees the Galilei-covariant properties of the $\mathcal{X}_i$, as well as its free evolution law:

$$\{\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{X}_i\} = \epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{X}_j, \quad \{\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{X}_j\} = -\delta_{ij}, \quad \{\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{X}_j\} = t\delta_{ij},$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{X}_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{X}_i + \{\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{H}\} = \frac{1}{m}\mathcal{P}_i.$$

Fixing the values of the Casimir elements (2.2) to be equal to zero, we get the free massive planar particle with zero internal energy and spin. Its symmetry generators, represented in terms of the variables $\mathcal{X}_i$ and p_i , are

$$\mathcal{P}_i = p_i, \quad \mathcal{K}_i = m\mathcal{X}_i - tp_i + m\theta\epsilon_{ij}p_j, \quad \mathcal{J} = \epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{X}_ip_j + \frac{1}{2}\theta p_i^2, \quad \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2m}p_i^2. \quad (2.4)$$

The price we pay for such a minimal realization is the noncommutativity of the coordinates $\mathcal{X}_i$. In correspondence with Eqs. (2.3), (2.1), the fundamental phase space Poisson bracket relations are

$$\{\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_j\} = \theta\epsilon_{ij}, \quad \{\mathcal{X}_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \{p_i, p_j\} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

One can also define another set of noncommuting coordinates [11, 12],

$$\mathcal{Y}_i = \mathcal{X}_i + \theta\epsilon_{ij}p_j, \quad \{\mathcal{Y}_i, \mathcal{Y}_j\} = -\theta\epsilon_{ij}, \quad \{\mathcal{Y}_i, \mathcal{X}_j\} = 0, \quad \{\mathcal{Y}_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad (2.6)$$

which simplify the form of the boost and rotation generators,

$$\mathcal{K}_i = m\mathcal{Y}_i - tp_i, \quad \mathcal{J} = \frac{1}{2\theta}(\mathcal{Y}_i^2 - \mathcal{X}_i^2). \quad (2.7)$$

Since

$$\{\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{Y}_i\} = \epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{Y}_j, \quad \{\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{Y}_j\} = -\delta_{ij}, \quad \{\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{Y}_j\} = t\delta_{ij} - m\theta\epsilon_{ij}, \quad (2.8)$$

the last relation means that the coordinates $\mathcal{Y}_i$, unlike the $\mathcal{X}_i$, are *not* Galilei-covariant.

At the quantum level, the $\mathcal{X}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, are the non-commutative (hence, simultaneously not localizable) coordinates. One can work there in the momentum representation. Or, by introducing commuting (canonical) coordinates

$$\mathfrak{X}_i = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{X}_i + \mathcal{Y}_i) = \mathcal{X}_i - \frac{1}{2}\theta\epsilon_{ij}p_j, \quad (2.9)$$

$\{\mathfrak{X}_i, \mathfrak{X}_j\} = 0$, $\{\mathfrak{X}_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}$, we get the coordinate representation $\psi(\mathfrak{X})$. In the latter case, in correspondence with (2.9), the covariant coordinate operators are realized by the star-product [20],

$$\hat{\mathcal{X}}_j\psi(\mathfrak{X}) = \left(\mathfrak{X}_j - \frac{i}{2}\theta\epsilon_{jk}\partial_k\right)\psi(\mathfrak{X}) = \mathfrak{X}_j \star \psi(\mathfrak{X}).$$

¹Cf. the two formulations for (2+1)D relativistic anyons [17, 18, 19].

In terms of the coordinates $\mathfrak{X}_i$, the angular momentum takes a usual form, $\mathcal{J} = \epsilon_{ij} \mathfrak{X}_i p_j$. However, the canonical coordinates $\mathfrak{X}_i$, like the $\mathcal{Y}_i$, are not Galilei-covariant, $\{\mathcal{K}_i, \mathfrak{X}_j\} = t\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}m\theta\epsilon_{ij}$.

The covariance and localizability may simultaneously be incorporated into the theory by introducing the Galilei-covariant canonical coordinates x_i alongside with the translation-invariant internal noncommuting variables v_i being analogs of the gamma matrices for the Dirac particle,

$$\{x_i, x_j\} = 0, \quad \{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \{v_i, v_j\} = -\kappa^{-1}\epsilon_{ij}, \quad \{v_i, x_j\} = \{v_i, p_j\} = 0.$$

Taking into account relations (2.1), the boost and rotation generators are realized in such an extended approach in the form [11]

$$\mathcal{K}_i = mx_i - tp_i + \kappa\epsilon_{ij}v_j, \quad \mathcal{J} = \epsilon_{ij}x_i p_j + \frac{1}{2}\kappa v_i^2. \quad (2.10)$$

Then, fixing zero value for the first Casimir central element (2.2), $\mathcal{C}_1 = 0$, we obtain the Hamiltonian,

$$\mathcal{H} = p_i v_i - \frac{1}{2}v_i^2. \quad (2.11)$$

It generates the equations of motion

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = v_i, \quad \frac{dp_i}{dt} = 0, \quad \frac{dv_i}{dt} = \omega\epsilon_{ij}(v_j - m^{-1}p_j), \quad (2.12)$$

where $\omega = \frac{m}{\kappa}$. Their solution is given by

$$p_i(t) = \bar{p}_i, \quad x_i(t) = \frac{1}{m}(p_i t - \epsilon_{ij}(V_j(t) - \bar{V}_j)) + \bar{x}_i, \quad V_i(t) = \bar{V}_i \Delta_{ji}(t), \quad (2.13)$$

with

$$V_i = \kappa(v_i - m^{-1}p_i), \quad (2.14)$$

$\bar{x}_i = x_i(0)$, $\bar{p}_i = p_i(0)$, $\bar{V}_i = V_i(0)$. Here we have introduced the notation

$$\Delta_{ij}(t) = \delta_{ij} \cos \omega t - \epsilon_{ij} \sin \omega t = \Delta_{ji}(-t) = \Delta_{ij}^{-1}(t) \quad (2.15)$$

for the elements of the orthogonal matrix satisfying the equation $\frac{d}{dt}\Delta_{ij} = -\omega\epsilon_{ik}\Delta_{kj}$.

As in the case of the Dirac particle, the commuting Galilei-covariant coordinates x_i are subjected to the Zitterbewegung: here the evolution is described by a superposition of the translation and rotation motions. The V_i are invariant under the space translations and Galilei boosts, $\{p_i, V_j\} = 0$, $\{\mathcal{K}_i, V_j\} = 0$. Therefore, the

$$\mathcal{X}_i = x_i + \frac{1}{m}\epsilon_{ij}V_j \quad (2.16)$$

is a Zitterbewegung-free Galilei-covariant vector satisfying the equation of motion $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{X}_i = \frac{1}{m}p_i$. It has noncommuting components, $\{\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_j\} = \theta\epsilon_{ij}$, and can be identified with the covariant coordinates (2.3) in the minimal formulation of the free NR-anyon. This is an analog of the Foldy-Wouthuysen coordinate for the Dirac particle. The analog of the Galilei-noncovariant vector (2.6) is given here by $\mathcal{Y}_i = x_i + m\theta\epsilon_{ij}v_j$.

In accordance with Eqs. (2.14), (2.16), the transition from the phase space variables x_i, p_i, v_i to the set $\mathcal{X}_i, p_i$ and V_i ,

$$\{\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_j\} = \theta\epsilon_{ij}, \quad \{\mathcal{X}_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \{V_i, V_j\} = -\kappa\epsilon_{ij}, \quad \{V_i, \mathcal{X}_j\} = \{V_i, p_j\} = 0,$$

gives the following realization for the generators of the exotic Galilei group:

$$\mathcal{P}_i = p_i, \quad \mathcal{K}_i = m\mathcal{X}_i - tp_i + m\theta\epsilon_{ij}p_j, \quad \mathcal{J} = \epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{X}_i p_j + \frac{1}{2}\theta p_i^2 + \frac{1}{2\kappa}V_i^2, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2m}(p_i^2 - \omega^2 V_i^2). \quad (2.18)$$

The obtained system realizes the exotic planar Galilei group as its symmetry, but it contains additional internal degrees of freedom described by the V_i . The set of the Galilei group generators can be extended here by the vector integral

$$\mathcal{V}_i = \Delta_{ij}(t)V_j = \bar{V}_i, \quad (2.19)$$

for which we have the relations

$$\{\mathcal{V}_i, \mathcal{V}_j\} = -\kappa\epsilon_{ij}, \quad \{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{V}_i\} = -\omega\epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{V}_j, \quad \{\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{V}_i\} = \epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{V}_j, \quad (2.20)$$

$$\{\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{V}_j\} = \{\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{V}_j\} = 0. \quad (2.21)$$

The extended Galilei algebra with the Poisson bracket relations (2.1), (2.20), (2.21) is characterized by the Casimir elements

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{K}_i\mathcal{P}_j + \frac{1}{2\kappa}\mathcal{V}_i^2 - m\mathcal{J} - \kappa\mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{P}_i^2 - \omega^2\mathcal{V}_i^2 - 2m\mathcal{H}. \quad (2.22)$$

The comparison of Eq. (2.22) with Eq. (2.2) shows that the free exotic particle in the minimal formulation is nothing else as the extended model reduced to the surface $\mathcal{V}_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2$, which can equivalently be given by the two second class phase space constraints not depending explicitly on time,

$$V_i \approx 0. \quad (2.23)$$

The momenta p_i as well as the covariant coordinates (2.16) have zero Poisson brackets with constraints (2.23). After reduction coordinates (2.16) are transformed into the noncommuting coordinates of the minimal formulation with Dirac bracket relations of the form (2.5).

In correspondence with Dirac theory of the constrained systems, if $\varphi_a \approx 0$ is a set of second class constraints, one can define the extension A^* of a dynamical quantity A ,

$$A^* = A - \{A, \varphi_a\}C_{ab}^{-1}\varphi_b, \quad C_{ab} = \{\varphi_a, \varphi_b\}. \quad (2.24)$$

It satisfies the properties $\varphi_a^* = 0$, $\{A^*, \varphi_a\} \approx 0$, $\{A^*, D^*\} \approx \{A, D\}^*$, where $\{A, D\}^* = \{A, D\} - \{A, \varphi_a\}C_{ab}^{-1}\{\varphi_b, D\}$ is the Dirac bracket [22]. In this sense, we have $V_i^* = 0$, $p_i^* = p_i$ and $x_i^* = \mathcal{X}_i$, where $\mathcal{X}_i$ is the Zitterbewegung-free covariant coordinate (2.16).

Let us summarize shortly the NR-anyon model in the symplectic geometry language [23, 22]. The symplectic two-form of the model in the extended formulation can be presented in one of the equivalent forms,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= dp_i \wedge dx_i + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ij}dv_i \wedge dv_j \\ &= dp_i \wedge d\mathcal{X}_i + \frac{1}{2}\theta dp_i \wedge dp_j + \frac{1}{\kappa}\epsilon_{ij}dV_i \wedge dV_j \\ &= \frac{1}{2\theta}\epsilon_{ij}(d\mathcal{Y}_i \wedge d\mathcal{Y}_j - d\mathcal{X} \wedge d\mathcal{X}_j) + \frac{1}{2\kappa}\epsilon_{ij}dV_i \wedge dV_j. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

The equations of motion are given by the vector fields in the extended phase space with t treated as the additional coordinate, where they form the null space of the degenerate two-form

$$\Sigma = \sigma + dt \wedge d\mathcal{H} \quad (2.26)$$

with the Hamiltonian given by Eq. (2.11) or (2.18). The extended two-form can equivalently be presented in terms of the integrals of motion being the generators of the extended Galilei group,

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{m}d\mathcal{P}_i \wedge d\mathcal{K}_i + \frac{1}{2}\theta\epsilon_{ij}d\mathcal{P}_i \wedge d\mathcal{P}_j + \frac{1}{2\kappa}\epsilon_{ij}d\mathcal{V}_i \wedge d\mathcal{V}_j. \quad (2.27)$$

The reduction to the surface $\mathcal{V}_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2$, corresponds to omitting of the last term in (2.27), and to putting $V_i = 0$ in symplectic form (2.25) and in Hamiltonian (2.18).

3 EM-particle: dynamics and symmetries

Let us consider a charged nonrelativistic particle on the plane subjected to the external constant homogeneous magnetic B and electric E_i fields,

$$L_{EM} = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}B\epsilon_{ij}x_i\dot{x}_j + E_ix_i, \quad (3.1)$$

where we put $c = e = 1$, and choose the symmetric gauge, $A_i(x) = -\frac{1}{2}B\epsilon_{ij}x_j$. By means of the symplectic two-form

$$\sigma = dp_i \wedge dx_i = dP_i \wedge dx_i + \frac{1}{2}B\epsilon_{ij}dx_i \wedge dx_j, \quad (3.2)$$

the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2m}P_i^2 - E_ix_i, \quad (3.3)$$

generates the equations of motion

$$\frac{d}{dt}x_i = m^{-1}P_i, \quad \frac{d}{dt}P_i = \omega_c\epsilon_{ij}(P_j - \omega_c^{-1}\epsilon_{ij}E_j), \quad (3.4)$$

where $P_i = p_i - A_i = p_i + \frac{1}{2}B\epsilon_{ij}x_j$ is a mechanical momentum, and $\omega_c = \frac{B}{m}$ is the cyclotron frequency. Comparing (3.4) with equations of motion (2.12) of the NR-anyon in extended formulation, we see a similarity of the dynamics of both systems if we identify their corresponding coordinates x_i , treat the dynamical constants p_i of the NR-anyon as analogs of the nondynamical constant quantities $\omega_c^{-1}\epsilon_{ij}E_j$, and consider the velocities $m^{-1}P_i$ of the EM-particle,

$$\{P_i, P_j\} = B\epsilon_{ij}, \quad (3.5)$$

as analogs of the noncommutative velocities v_i of the NR-anyon. The analogy between the two systems is extended if we introduce the variables

$$\mathcal{X}_i = x_i + B^{-1}\epsilon_{ij}\Pi_j, \quad \Pi_i = P_i - \omega_c^{-1}\epsilon_{ij}E_j. \quad (3.6)$$

The coordinate $\mathcal{X}_i$ has a sense of the guiding center coordinate X_i [21] shifted for the constant electric term,

$$\mathcal{X}_i = X_i + B^{-1}\omega_c^{-1}E_i, \quad X_i = x_i + B^{-1}\epsilon_{ij}P_j. \quad (3.7)$$

The introduced phase space variables are mutually decoupled in the sense of the Poisson brackets,

$$\{\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_j\} = -B^{-1}\epsilon_{ij}, \quad \{\mathcal{X}_i, \Pi_j\} = 0, \quad \{\Pi_i, \Pi_j\} = B\epsilon_{ij}, \quad (3.8)$$

allowing us to separate the drift Hall and the circular motions,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{X}_i = B^{-1}\epsilon_{ij}E_j, \quad \frac{d}{dt}\Pi_i = \omega_c\epsilon_{ij}\Pi_j. \quad (3.9)$$

Having in mind the noncommutativity of the $\mathcal{X}_i$ and their Poisson bracket decoupling from the Π_i variables, we can treat them, respectively, as analogs of the covariant NR-anyon coordinates $\mathcal{X}_i$ and of the spin variables V_i associated with the Zitterbewegung. The analogy between the two systems, however, is not complete. The p_i of the NR-anyon are dynamical and generate translations of the coordinates $\mathcal{X}_i$, while the $\omega_c^{-1}\epsilon_{ij}E_j$ are the nondynamical constants of the EM-particle.

Let us investigate the integrals of motion of the EM-particle and symmetries associated with them. Integration of Eq. (3.9) provides us with the four dynamical integrals of motion ($\frac{d}{dt}I = 0$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}I \neq 0$):

$$\bar{\mathcal{X}}_i = \mathcal{X}_i - B^{-1}\epsilon_{ij}E_j \cdot t = \mathcal{X}_i(0), \quad \bar{\Pi}_i = \Delta_{ij}(t)\Pi_j = \Pi(0), \quad (3.10)$$

where the matrix $\Delta_{ij}(t)$ is defined by the equation of the form (2.15) with ω changed for ω_c . These four independent integrals associated with the drift and circular motions satisfy the Poisson bracket relations of the form (3.8),

$$\{\bar{\mathcal{X}}_i, \bar{\mathcal{X}}_j\} = -B^{-1}\epsilon_{ij}, \quad \{\bar{\mathcal{X}}_i, \bar{\Pi}_j\} = 0, \quad \{\bar{\Pi}_i, \bar{\Pi}_j\} = B\epsilon_{ij}, \quad (3.11)$$

and represent the Hamiltonian (3.3) as

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} (\bar{\Pi}_i^2 + \omega_c^{-2} E_i^2) - E_i \bar{\mathcal{X}}_i. \quad (3.12)$$

Any other function of $\bar{\mathcal{X}}_i$ and $\bar{\Pi}_i$ is also an integral of motion. In what follows we shall be interested in the restricted class of the geometric symmetries associated with the linear and quadratic functions of the integrals (3.10).

From Eq. (3.10), we find the evolution law

$$x_i(t) = \bar{\mathcal{X}}_i + B^{-1} (\bar{\Pi}_k \epsilon_{kj} \Delta_{ji}(t) + \epsilon_{ij} E_j t). \quad (3.13)$$

Making use of the solutions to the equations of motion, we calculate the Poisson brackets

$$\{x_i(t_1), x_j(t_2)\} = -B^{-1} \epsilon_{ik} (\delta_{kj} - \Delta_{kj}(t_2 - t_1)), \quad (3.14)$$

$$\{x_i(t_1), p_j(t_2)\} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{ij} + \Delta_{ji}(t_2 - t_1)), \quad (3.15)$$

$$\{x_i(t), \bar{\mathcal{X}}_j\} = -B^{-1} \epsilon_{ij}, \quad \{x_i(t), \bar{\Pi}_j\} = \Delta_{ji}(t). \quad (3.16)$$

In accordance with Eqs. (3.16), (3.7), the rotated guiding center coordinate

$$\mathcal{P}_i = -B \epsilon_{ij} \bar{\mathcal{X}}_j = \epsilon_{ij} (-B \bar{\mathcal{X}}_j + \omega_c^{-1} E_j) = P_i - B \epsilon_{ij} x_j - E_i t \quad (3.17)$$

generates the translations,

$$\delta x_i = \delta a_i, \quad \delta t = 0, \quad \delta L_{EM} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\delta x_i \left(\frac{1}{2} B \epsilon_{ij} x_j + e E_i t \right) \right), \quad (3.18)$$

being the Noether charge associated with the displayed quasi-invariance of the EM-particle Lagrangian. The $\bar{\Pi}_i$ is the Noether charge associated with the symmetry

$$\delta x_i = \delta \lambda_j \Delta_{ji}(t), \quad \delta t = 0, \quad \delta L_{EM} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} B x_i - \omega_c^{-1} E_i \right) \epsilon_{ij} \delta x_j \right), \quad (3.19)$$

where $\delta \lambda_i$ are the infinitesimal transformation parameters. Then the linear combination of the integrals

$$\mathcal{K}_i = \omega_c^{-1} \epsilon_{ij} (\mathcal{R}_j - \bar{\Pi}_j), \quad (3.20)$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{P}_i - \omega_c^{-1} \epsilon_{ij} E_j = -B \epsilon_{ij} \bar{\mathcal{X}}_j, \quad (3.21)$$

can be treated as a generator of the field-deformed Galilei boost transformations. Indeed, it is the Noether charge associated with the symmetry

$$\delta x_i = \omega^{-1} \delta v_j \epsilon_{jk} (\Delta_{ki}(t) - \delta_{ki}), \quad \delta t = 0, \quad \delta L_{EM} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\delta v_i \gamma_i^b \right), \quad (3.22)$$

where

$$\gamma_i^b = \frac{1}{2} m (\delta_{ij} + \Delta_{ij}(t)) x_j - \omega_c^{-2} \epsilon_{ik} (\omega_c t \delta_{kl} - \epsilon_{kj} \Delta_{jl}(t)) E_l. \quad (3.23)$$

In the limit $B \rightarrow 0$, (3.22) takes the form of the usual Galilei boost transformations, $\delta x_i \rightarrow \delta v_i t$, and (3.20) is reduced to the boost generator of a free particle: $\mathcal{K}_i \rightarrow m x_i - p_i t$. On the other hand, for the usual Galilei boost transformations we have

$$\delta x_i = \delta v_i \cdot t, \quad \delta t = 0, \quad \delta L_{EM} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\tilde{\gamma}_i^b \delta v_i \right), \quad (3.24)$$

with

$$\tilde{\gamma}_i^b = m x_i + \frac{1}{2} B \epsilon_{ij} x_j \cdot t + \frac{1}{2} E_i \cdot t^2 - B \epsilon_{ij} \cdot \int_0^t x_j(\tau) d\tau. \quad (3.25)$$

Due to the last term in Eq. (3.25), the Noether charge associated with Galilei boosts (3.24),

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_i = \tilde{\gamma}_i^b - tp_i, \quad (3.26)$$

is nonlocal in time. By means of relations (3.14) and (3.15), we find that the nonlocal in time Noether charge (3.26) generates not the transformation (3.24), (3.25) but the field-deformed boost transformations (3.22), (3.23) associated with the local in time dynamical integral of motion (3.20). This happens because to find the transformations generated by (3.26), we have to use the Poisson brackets (3.14) calculated on shell, i.e. with making use of the solutions to the equations of motion. The calculation of the integral in the last term of (3.25) with the help of solution (3.13) reduces the nonlocal charge (3.26) into the local integral of motion (3.20).

Taking into account the picture of transmutation on shell of the nonlocal in time Noether charges related to the Galilei boosts into the local in time field-deformed Galilei symmetry generators, let us analyze in the same way the rotation transformations. For infinitesimal rotations we have

$$\delta x_i = \delta\varphi \cdot \epsilon_{ij} x_j, \quad \delta t = 0, \quad \delta L_{EM} = \frac{d}{dt} (\gamma^r \delta\varphi), \quad (3.27)$$

with

$$\gamma^r = \epsilon_{ij} E_i \int_0^t x_j(\tau) d\tau.$$

As in the previous case, the associated Noether charge $j^r = \epsilon_{ij} x_i p_j + \gamma^r$ is not local in time quantity. On shell, it transmutes into the local in time integral

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{1}{2} (B \bar{X}_i^2 - B^{-1} \bar{P}_i^2), \quad (3.28)$$

where $\bar{P}_i = \bar{\Pi}_i + \omega_c^{-1} \epsilon_{ij} E_j = P_i(0)$. The integral (3.28) generates the field-deformed rotation transformations

$$\delta x_i = \{\mathcal{J}, x_i\} \delta\varphi = \epsilon_{ij} (x_j - m^{-1} \omega_c^{-2} (E_j \cdot (1 - \cos \omega_c t) - \epsilon_{jk} E_k \cdot (\omega_c t - \sin \omega_c t))) \cdot \delta\varphi, \quad (3.29)$$

which at $E_i = 0$ are reduced to the usual rotation transformations, while the integral (3.28) takes a usual form $\mathcal{J} = \epsilon_{ij} x_i p_j$.

Note that for $B \rightarrow 0$, Eq. (3.29) gives $\delta x_i = \epsilon_{ij} (x_j - \frac{1}{2} m^{-1} E_j \cdot t^2) \delta\varphi$. Hence, in the limit $B \rightarrow 0$, the infinitesimal translations, the field-deformed Galilei boosts and the rotation transformations are polynomials of t of the degree 0, 1 and 2, respectively.

The integrals $\mathcal{P}_i$, $\mathcal{K}_i$, $\mathcal{J}$ and H form the field-deformed Galilei algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_j\} &= -B \epsilon_{ij}, & \{\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{K}_j\} &= 0, & \{\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{P}_j\} &= m \delta_{ij}, \\ \{\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}_i\} &= \epsilon_{ij} \mathcal{P}_j, & \{\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K}_i\} &= \epsilon_{ij} \mathcal{K}_j, \\ \{\mathcal{P}_i, H\} &= E_i, & \{\mathcal{K}_i, H\} &= \mathcal{P}_i + \omega_c \epsilon_{ij} \mathcal{K}_j, & \{\mathcal{J}, H\} &= -m^{-1} \epsilon_{ij} E_i \mathcal{K}_j. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

The field-deformed Galilei algebra (3.30) has the two Casimir elements

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \epsilon_{ij} \mathcal{K}_i \mathcal{P}_j - \frac{1}{2} \omega_c \mathcal{K}_i^2 - m \mathcal{J}, \quad \mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{P}_i^2 - 2B \mathcal{J} - 2\mathcal{K}_i E_i - 2mH, \quad (3.31)$$

both of which take zero values in the EM-particle model. Note also that $\mathcal{P}_i = p_i(0) - \frac{1}{2} B \epsilon_{ij} x_j(0)$, $\mathcal{K}_i = m x_i(0)$ and $\mathcal{J} = \epsilon_{ij} x_i(0) p_j(0)$.

4 Extended model and enlarged exotic Galilei symmetry

We have seen that the Noether charges associated with the Galilei boosts and rotations of the EM-particle are nonlocal in time. On shell, they are transformed into the local integrals generating field-deformed symmetry transformations of a complicated form generalizing the initial boost and rotation transformations. Let us look for such an extension of the EM-particle model which

- would not change its dynamics,
- but would eliminate the nonlocality of the boost and rotation Noether charges.

Since the nonlocality of the both charges originates from the time integral of $x_i(t)$, the work would be done if we present the particle coordinates as a time derivative of some “pre-coordinate” variables q_i . Taking also into account that more profound analogy between the EM-particle and NR-anyon is destroyed by the non-dynamical character of the electric field, we change the nondynamical quantities E_i for the dynamical ones $\mathcal{E}_i$, and add to the Lagrangian the term $\mathcal{E}_i \dot{q}_i$, i.e. change (3.1) for the extended Lagrangian system

$$L_{ext} = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}B\epsilon_{ij}x_i\dot{x}_j + \mathcal{E}_i x_i + \mathcal{E}_i \dot{q}_i, \quad (4.1)$$

treating the $\mathcal{E}_i$ as the momenta for the new coordinates q_i . Since the equations of motion for q_i read

$$\dot{\mathcal{E}}_i = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

the E_i transmutes here into the dynamical integral of motion $\mathcal{E}_i$. The additional term does not change equations of motion for x_i (3.4), but provides us with the new desired element: on l.h.s. of Eq. (3.4) the $\omega_c^{-1}\epsilon_{ij}E_j$ is changed now for the dynamical constant $\omega_c^{-1}\epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{E}_j$ to be similar to the p_i in the NR-anyon equations of motion (2.12). The two last terms in (4.1) mean that the $\mathcal{E}_i$ plays simultaneously the role of the Lagrange multiplier generating the constraint

$$x_i + \dot{q}_i = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Taking into account this constraint, the nonlocal term $\int^t x_i(\tau)d\tau$ in the boost and rotation Noether charges of the EM-particle is reduced to the local term $-q_i(t)$.

In the Hamiltonian picture the extended system (4.1) is described by the symplectic two-form

$$\sigma = dp_i \wedge dx_i + d\mathcal{E}_i \wedge dq_i = dP_i \wedge dx_i + \frac{1}{2}B\epsilon_{ij}dx_i \wedge dx_j + d\mathcal{E}_i \wedge dq_i. \quad (4.4)$$

The Hamiltonian of (4.1) has the same form (3.3) with the E_i changed for the dynamical constant $\mathcal{E}_i$, while the Hamiltonian equations of motion (3.4) are supplemented with the equations (4.2) and (4.3). Making use of the equations of motion, we find the complete set of dynamical integrals of motion linear in the extended phase space variables,

$$\mathcal{P}_i, \quad \mathcal{K}_i = mx_i + B\epsilon_{ij}q_j - \mathcal{P}_i t - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}_i t^2, \quad \bar{\Pi}_i, \quad \mathcal{E}_i, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_i$ and $\bar{\Pi}_i$ are given by the equations of the form (3.17), (3.10) with the E_i changed for the dynamical $\mathcal{E}_i$. We have also the quadratic integrals²

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2m}P_i^2 - \mathcal{E}_i x_i, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\mathcal{J} = \epsilon_{ij}(x_i p_j + q_i \mathcal{E}_j) = \epsilon_{ij}(x_i P_j + q_i \mathcal{E}_j) + \frac{1}{2}Bx_i^2, \quad (4.7)$$

being the generators of the time translations and usual (not deformed) rotations.

Lagrangian (4.1) gives, however, not the most general extension of the system with the described properties. Indeed, the Chern-Simons-like term $\frac{1}{2}\beta\epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{E}_i\dot{\mathcal{E}}_j$ with a parameter β of dimensionality $B^{-1}\omega_c^{-2}$ can be added without changing the equations of motion. Its only effect will be to modify the Poisson brackets of q_i making them to be non-commuting coordinates. Summarizing, we arrive at the following Lagrangian for the extended exotic system

$$L_{Ex} = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}B\epsilon_{ij}x_i\dot{x}_j + \mathcal{E}_i x_i + \mathcal{E}_i \dot{q}_i + \frac{1}{2}\beta\epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{E}_i\dot{\mathcal{E}}_j. \quad (4.8)$$

²We are not interested here in other quadratic integrals of motion, in particular, in those which could be related to the generalized dilatation and special conformal symmetries.

If we switch off the electromagnetic interaction by restoring the electric charge e and then put $e = 0$, the system (4.8) is transformed into the decoupled sum of the two free nonrelativistic particles — the usual one of mass m , and the exotic particle with noncommuting coordinates [8] of zero mass.

In canonical formalism, the system (4.8) is given by the nontrivial Poisson brackets

$$\{x_i, P_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \{P_i, P_j\} = B\epsilon_{ij}, \quad \{q_i, q_j\} = \beta\epsilon_{ij}, \quad \{q_i, \mathcal{E}_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad (4.9)$$

and by the Hamiltonian (4.6). The complete set of equations of motion is (4.2), (4.3) and

$$\dot{x}_i = \frac{1}{m}P_i, \quad \dot{P}_i = \omega_c\epsilon_{ij}(P_j - \omega_c^{-1}\epsilon_{jk}\mathcal{E}_k). \quad (4.10)$$

The quantities (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) are the integrals of motion of the system (4.8) being the Noether charges generating the following symmetries:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_i : & \quad \delta x_i = \delta a_i, & \delta q_i = -t\delta a_i, & \delta \mathcal{E}_i = 0, \\ \mathcal{K}_i : & \quad \delta x_i = t\delta v_i, & \delta q_i = -\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2 + \beta B\right)\delta v_i, & \delta \mathcal{E}_i = -B\epsilon_{ij}\delta v_j, \\ \mathcal{E}_i : & \quad \delta x_i = 0, & \delta q_i = \delta d_i, & \delta \mathcal{E}_j = 0, \\ \bar{\Pi}_i : & \quad \delta x_i = \delta\lambda_j\Delta_{ji}(t), & \delta q_i = -\omega_c^{-1}\delta\lambda_j\epsilon_{jk}\Delta_{ki}(t), & \delta \mathcal{E}_i = 0, \\ \mathcal{J} : & \quad \delta x_i = \delta\varphi\epsilon_{ij}x_j, & \delta q_i = \delta\varphi\epsilon_{ij}q_j, & \delta \mathcal{E}_i = \delta\varphi\epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{E}_j, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where $\Delta_{ij}(t)$ is defined by Eq. (2.15), while δa_i , δv_i , δd_i , $\delta\lambda_i$ and $\delta\varphi$ are the transformation parameters. The Hamiltonian (4.6) generating the time translations can be presented in the equivalent form in terms of other integrals of motion,

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2m}(\bar{\Pi}_i^2 + \omega_c^{-2}\mathcal{E}_i^2) - \frac{1}{B}\epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{R}_j, \quad (4.12)$$

where the $\mathcal{R}_i$ is given by the equation of the form (3.21) with the E_i changed for $\mathcal{E}_i$.

The integrals (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) form the enlarged exotic Galilei algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_j\} &= -B\epsilon_{ij}, & \{\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{P}_j\} &= m\delta_{ij}, & \{\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{K}_j\} &= \beta B^2\epsilon_{ij}, & \{\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{E}_j\} &= B\epsilon_{ij}, \\ \{\bar{\Pi}_i, \bar{\Pi}_j\} &= B\epsilon_{ij}, & \{\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{Q}_i\} &= \epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{Q}_j, & \mathcal{Q}_i &= \mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{K}_i, \bar{\Pi}_i, \mathcal{E}_i, \\ \{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_i\} &= -\mathcal{P}_i, & \{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{P}_i\} &= -\mathcal{E}_i, & \{\mathcal{H}, \bar{\Pi}_i\} &= -\omega_c\epsilon_{ij}\bar{\Pi}_j, \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where the nontrivial brackets are displayed only, cf. [15, 16]. The algebra (4.13) is characterized by the two Casimir elements

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{R}_i^2 - \bar{\Pi}_i^2 - 2\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{K}_i + \beta B\mathcal{E}_i^2 - 2B\mathcal{J}, \quad \mathcal{C}_2 = \bar{\Pi}_i^2 - 2\omega_c^{-1}\epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{P}_j - \omega_c^{-2}\mathcal{E}_i^2 - 2m\mathcal{H}, \quad (4.14)$$

which for system (4.8) take zero values.

The coordinates $\mathcal{X}_i$ defined by Eq. (3.6) with the E_i changed for the $\mathcal{E}_i$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{X}_i = x_i + \frac{1}{B}\epsilon_{ij}(P_j - \omega_c^{-1}\epsilon_{jk}\mathcal{E}_k) = \frac{1}{B}\epsilon_{ij}(\mathcal{R}_j + \mathcal{E}_j t),$$

can be identified as analogs of covariant non-commuting coordinates (2.16) of the NR-anyon, $\{\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_j\} = -B^{-1}\epsilon_{ij}$. Like the x_i , they satisfy the relations $\{\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{X}_j\} = -\delta_{ij}$, $\{\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{X}_j\} = t\delta_{ij}$, $\{\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{X}_j\} = 0$, but, unlike the latter, $\{\bar{\Pi}_i, \mathcal{X}_j\} = 0$. As a consequence, in correspondence with the structure of the Hamiltonian (4.12), the evolution of the $\mathcal{X}_i$ reveals only the translation Hall drift motion, $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{X}_i = \frac{1}{B}\epsilon_{ij}\mathcal{E}_j$.

5 Reduction of the *Ex*-model to the NR-anyon and EM-particle

The translation generators $\mathcal{P}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, of the *Ex*-model do not commute in contrast with those of the free NR-anyon, while its boost generators $\mathcal{K}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, unlike the EM-particle, do not commute at $\beta \neq 0$. Nevertheless, we shall show below that both systems, the EM-particle and NR-anyon,

are contained in the *Ex*-model in the form of subsystems, and could be “extracted” from the latter by the appropriate Hamiltonian reductions.

First, consider the reduction which produces the NR-anyon model. To this end, we define

$$\mathcal{P}_i^{NR} = \omega_c^{-1} \epsilon_{ij} \mathcal{E}_j, \quad \mathcal{H}^{NR} = \mathcal{H} - \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{P}_i^{NR} \mathcal{R}_i = \frac{1}{2m} (\bar{\Pi}_i^2 + (\mathcal{P}_i^{NR})^2). \quad (5.1)$$

Identifying the parameter κ of the NR-anyon with $-m^2 B^{-1}$ of the *Ex*-model, and then fixing in the latter model $\beta = -m^2 B^{-3}$, we find that the set of integrals of motion $\mathcal{P}_i^{NR}$, $\bar{\Pi}_i$, $\mathcal{K}_i$, $\mathcal{H}^{NR}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ of the *Ex*-model forms the algebra like that formed by the integrals $\mathcal{P}_i$, $\omega \mathcal{V}_i$, $\mathcal{K}_i$, $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ of the NR-anyon. The only difference is the sign of the brackets of the $\omega \mathcal{V}_i$ with $\omega \mathcal{V}_j$ (see Eq. (2.20)) in comparison with the brackets of $\bar{\Pi}_i$ with $\bar{\Pi}_j$ in (4.13). Correspondingly, the sign before the term $\bar{\Pi}_i^2$ in the $\mathcal{H}^{NR}$ is different from the sign before the $\omega^2 \mathcal{V}_i^2$ in the Hamiltonian (2.18) of the extended formulation for the NR-anyon. At the same time, note that the integral $\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{P}_i - \mathcal{P}_i^{NR}$ has vanishing Poisson brackets with the integrals $\mathcal{P}_i^{NR}$, $\bar{\Pi}_i$, $\mathcal{K}_i$, $\mathcal{H}^{NR}$ of the *Ex*-model, and is rotated by the $\mathcal{J}$.

The *Ex*-model coordinates, which are covariant with respect to the action of the integrals $\mathcal{P}_i^{NR}$, $\bar{\Pi}_i$, $\mathcal{K}_i$, $\mathcal{H}^{NR}$ and $\mathcal{J}$, can be identified in two steps. First, analogously to the NR-anyon, we present the boost generator from (4.5) in the form $\mathcal{K}_i = m \mathcal{Y}_i^{NR} - \mathcal{P}_i^{NR} t$, cf. Eq. (2.7). This gives

$$\mathcal{Y}_i^{NR} = x_i + \omega_c \epsilon_{ij} q_j - \frac{1}{2m} \mathcal{E}_i t^2 - \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{R}_i t. \quad (5.2)$$

Then the

$$\mathcal{X}_i^{NR} = \mathcal{Y}_i^{NR} + \beta \omega_c^2 \epsilon_{ij} \mathcal{P}_j^{NR} = x_i + \omega_c \epsilon_{ij} q_j - \beta \omega_c \mathcal{E}_i - \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{R}_i t - \frac{1}{2m} \mathcal{E}_i t^2 \quad (5.3)$$

(cf. Eq. (2.6)) is the analog of the covariant noncommuting coordinates of the NR-anyon in the extended formulation,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{X}_i^{NR}, \mathcal{X}_j^{NR}\} &= -\beta \omega_c^2 \epsilon_{ij}, & \{\mathcal{X}_i^{NR}, \mathcal{Y}_j^{NR}\} &= 0, & \{\mathcal{X}_i^{NR}, \mathcal{P}_j^{NR}\} &= \delta_{ij}, \\ \{\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{X}_j^{NR}\} &= \delta_{ij} t, & \{\bar{\Pi}_i, \mathcal{X}_j^{NR}\} &= 0, & \{\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{X}_i^{NR}\} &= \epsilon_{ij} \mathcal{X}_j^{NR}. \end{aligned}$$

The $\mathcal{X}_i^{NR}$, $\mathcal{Y}_i^{NR}$ and $\bar{\Pi}_i$ diagonalize the rotation generator,

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{1}{2\beta\omega_c^2} ((\mathcal{X}_i^{NR})^2 - (\mathcal{Y}_i^{NR})^2) - \frac{1}{2B} \bar{\Pi}_i^2.$$

Therefore, the *Ex*-model being reduced to the surface of the two second class constraints

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{P}_i - \mathcal{P}_i^{NR} \approx 0 \quad (5.4)$$

is transformed into the NR-anyon [11, 12] (with the only sign difference mentioned above). In the sense of the Dirac theory of the constrained systems, in correspondence with definition (2.24) taken for the second class constraints (5.4), we have $\mathcal{P}_i^* = \mathcal{P}_i^{NR}$, $\mathcal{K}_i^* = \mathcal{K}_i$, $\bar{\Pi}_i^* = \bar{\Pi}_i$, $\mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{H}^{NR}$. The first from these relations means that in the subspace defined by the constraints (5.4), the vector $\mathcal{P}_i^{NR}$ takes the role of the translation generator $\mathcal{P}_i$, i.e. the reduction to the surface (5.4) provokes a kind of *electric-translation* transmutation.

Further reduction to the surface of zero values of the circular motion integrals,

$$\bar{\Pi}_i \approx 0, \quad (5.5)$$

“extracts” from the extended system the model [8] of a free nonrelativistic anyon in the minimal formulation.

Let us consider in more detail the reduction procedure of the system to the subspaces of the second class constraints given in terms of integrals of motion. The symplectic two-form corresponding to Poisson brackets (4.9) is

$$\sigma = dP_i \wedge dx_i + \frac{1}{2} B \epsilon_{ij} dx_i \wedge dx_j + d\mathcal{E}_i \wedge dq_i + \frac{1}{2} \beta \epsilon_{ij} d\mathcal{E}_i \wedge d\mathcal{E}_j, \quad (5.6)$$

In terms of the variables $\mathcal{X}_i$ and Π_i given by Eq. (3.6) (in which the E_i is changed for $\mathcal{E}_i$), it takes the form

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ij} (B d\mathcal{X}_i \wedge d\mathcal{X}_j - B^{-1} d\Pi_i \wedge d\Pi_j + \beta d\mathcal{E}_i \wedge d\mathcal{E}_j) + d\mathcal{E}_i \wedge dq_i^x, \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$q_i^x = q_i - \omega_c^{-1} \epsilon_{ij} x_j. \quad (5.8)$$

I.e., the separation of the circular and drift motions is accompanied here by the appearance of the variables q_i^x mixing the noncommuting coordinates q_i with the commuting ones x_i . Since

$$\frac{1}{2B} \epsilon_{ij} d\Pi_i \wedge d\Pi_j = \frac{1}{2B} \epsilon_{ij} d\bar{\Pi}_i \wedge d\bar{\Pi}_j - \frac{1}{2m} dt \wedge d\bar{\Pi}_i^2, \quad (5.9)$$

the transition from the variable Π_i to the associated integrals $\bar{\Pi}_i$ is accompanied by the shift of the Hamiltonian for the term $-\frac{1}{2m} \bar{\Pi}_i^2$. Having in mind the relation $\bar{\mathcal{X}}_i = B^{-1} \epsilon_{ij} \mathcal{R}_j$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} B \epsilon_{ij} d\mathcal{X}_i \wedge d\mathcal{X}_j + d\mathcal{E}_i \wedge dq_i^x = \frac{1}{2} B^{-1} \epsilon_{ij} d\mathcal{R}_i \wedge d\mathcal{R}_j + d\mathcal{E}_i \wedge dQ_i + dt \wedge d(\mathcal{E}_i \bar{\mathcal{X}}_i), \quad (5.10)$$

where

$$Q_i = q_i^x + \frac{1}{B} \epsilon_{ij} \left(t \mathcal{R}_j + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}_j t^2 \right). \quad (5.11)$$

Therefore, the transition from the $\mathcal{X}_i$ to the associated integrals $\mathcal{R}_i = -B \epsilon_{ij} \bar{\mathcal{X}}_j$ is accompanied by the shift of the Hamiltonian for the term $\mathcal{E}_i \bar{\mathcal{X}}_i = \mathcal{E}_i \mathcal{X}_i$, and by the transition from the variables q_i^x to the variables Q_i , which, like the q_i , are the classically noncommuting variables, $\{Q_i, Q_j\} = \beta \epsilon_{ij}$. Finally, the relation

$$d\mathcal{E}_i \wedge dQ_i = -B^{-1} \epsilon_{ij} d\mathcal{E}_i \wedge d\mathcal{K}_j + dt \wedge d \left(-\frac{1}{2m} \omega_c^{-2} \mathcal{E}_i^2 \right) \quad (5.12)$$

means that the transition from the Q_i to the integral $\mathcal{K}_i$ is accompanied by the shift of the Hamiltonian for the term $-\frac{1}{2m} \omega_c^{-2} \mathcal{E}_i^2$. The three steps can be summarized in presentation of the extended two-form $\Sigma = \sigma + dt \wedge d\mathcal{H}$ in terms of the integrals of motion,

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{2B} \epsilon_{ij} (d\mathcal{R}_i \wedge d\mathcal{R}_j - d\bar{\Pi}_i \wedge d\bar{\Pi}_j) - \frac{1}{B} \epsilon_{ij} d\mathcal{E}_i \wedge d\mathcal{K}_j + \frac{1}{2} \beta \epsilon_{ij} d\mathcal{E}_i \wedge d\mathcal{E}_j, \quad (5.13)$$

i.e. the three described shifts cancel all the three corresponding terms in Hamiltonian (4.6) reducing it to zero. This is in accordance with the nature of the independent variables $\mathcal{R}_i$, $\bar{\Pi}_i$, $\mathcal{K}_i$ and $\mathcal{E}_i$, which are the integrals of motion, and can be used instead of the initial variables x_i , P_i , q_i and $\mathcal{E}_i$ for the canonical description of the *Ex*-model. For the sake of completeness, note also that with respect to the set of the second class constraints (5.4) and (5.5) we have $x_i^* = \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{P}_i^{NR} t$ and $(q_i^x)^* = q_i^x - \frac{1}{B} \epsilon_{ij} \mathcal{R}_j t$.

From (5.13) it follows that the vector $\mathcal{P}_i^{NR}$ with commuting components is conjugate to the boost generators in the same way as a translation generator, $\{\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{P}_j^{NR}\} = m \delta_{ij}$, cf. the vector p_i of the NR-anyon. The noncovariant coordinate (5.2) is nothing else as the rotated vector (5.11), $\mathcal{Y}_i^{NR} = \omega_c \epsilon_{ij} Q_j$. Note also that the presence of the last term in (5.11) gives $\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{B} \epsilon_{ij} \mathcal{R}_j$, that turns into zero on the surface (5.4). As a consequence, on the reduced phase space given by the second class constraints (5.4), (5.5), the covariant coordinates $\mathcal{X}_i^{NR}$ do not depend explicitly on evolution parameter t , and the system admits the Hamiltonian description in terms of the extended two-form of the NR-anyon model in minimal formulation,

$$\Sigma_0^{NR} = \sigma + dt \wedge d\mathcal{H}_0, \quad \sigma = d\mathcal{P}_i^{NR} \wedge d\mathcal{X}_i^{NR} + \theta d\mathcal{P}_i^{NR} \wedge d\mathcal{P}_i^{NR}, \quad \mathcal{H}_0 = \frac{1}{2m} (\mathcal{P}_i^{NR})^2,$$

where we have taken into account the described above identification of the parameters of the *Ex*-model with those of the NR-anyon.

The phase space reduction of the *Ex*-model to the EM-particle is the reverse of the procedure with which the extended model was obtained from the EM-particle. To realize it, we have to fix

the dynamical variables $\mathcal{E}_i$ to be equal to the constant electric field components E_i , as well as to eliminate the variables q_i , conjugate to the momenta $\mathcal{E}_i$, by presenting them in terms of other variables. This should be realized in a way consistent with the dynamics of the Ex -model. To satisfy the requirement of consistency, and having in mind the EM-particle relation (3.20), we introduce the four second class constraints

$$\mathcal{E}_i - E_i \approx 0, \quad \mathcal{K}_i - \omega_c^{-1} \epsilon_{ij} (\mathcal{R}_j - \bar{\Pi}_j) \approx 0. \quad (5.14)$$

Being given in terms of the integrals of motion, the subspace (5.14) is stable under the system evolution. Since the $\mathcal{E}_i$ has nontrivial brackets with the q_i only, the reduction to the surface of the constraints (5.14) means effectively the elimination of the q_i (by presenting it in terms of other phase space variables and time) and reduction of the dynamical $\mathcal{E}_i$ to the nondynamical electric field E_i . The Ex -model symplectic two-form (5.6) is reduced on the surface (5.14) to the symplectic form (3.2) of the EM-particle. Relation (5.12) corresponds to the elimination from the Hamiltonian of the term $\frac{1}{2m} \omega_c^{-2} \mathcal{E}_i^2$, which is the kinetic term for the noncommuting variables q_i . As a result, the Dirac brackets of the remaining independent phase space variables x_i and P_i calculated with the second class constraints (5.14) coincide with the Poisson brackets of the EM-particle, while the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$, the boost and rotation generators take the form of the corresponding integrals of the EM-particle given by Eqs. (3.3), (3.20) and (3.28). Since the integral $\mathcal{P}_i$ commutes with the constraints (5.14), its Dirac brackets with any other quantity coincide with the initial brackets. This means, in particular, that after reduction the translation symmetry has a usual form, $\{\mathcal{P}_i, x_j\}^* = -\delta_{ij}$. On the other hand, the brackets for $\mathcal{K}_i$ and $\mathcal{J}$ with x_j change their usual form corresponding to transformations (4.11) for that corresponding to the field-deformed transformation laws (3.22) and (3.29) of the EM-particle.

Therefore, the Ex -model reduced to the surface of the constraints (5.14) is the EM-particle model. The usual Galilei boost and rotation transformation symmetries of the extended system transmute under this reduction into the corresponding field-deformed transformation symmetries of the EM-particle model, while the Dirac bracket relations of $\mathcal{P}_i$, $\mathcal{K}_i$, $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ take the form of the Poisson bracket relations (3.30).

6 Discussion and concluding remarks

We have considered two schemes of reduction of the Ex -model to the surfaces given by the sets of second class constraints (5.4) and (5.5), and (5.14). The surfaces are presented in terms of the integrals of motion of the Ex -model, that guarantees the consistency of the reduction procedure with the model dynamics. In the first reduction scheme, the boost generators of the Ex -model commute with the constraints (5.4), (5.5), while in the second scheme its translation generators commute with constraints (5.14). As a result, we “extract” from the extended system, correspondingly, the NR-anyon and the EM-particle models.

Note that in a generic case not any surface given by the dynamical integrals of motion in the form of second class constraints can be used for a reduction. For instance, the second pair of relations from (5.14) forms alone a subset of two second class constraints. However, the reduction of the extended two-form (5.13) to the surface of such constraints can not be presented in the form $\Sigma_{red} = \sigma_{red} + dt \wedge \mathcal{H}_{red}$ with non-degenerate two-form σ_{red} and reduced Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{red}$, where the symplectic matrix associated with σ_{red} would not depend explicitly on time. This means that after reduction to such a surface we can not describe the resulting system as a Hamiltonian one.

On the other hand, the two second class constraints (5.5) can be used for the Hamiltonian reduction. It produces the system described by the noncommuting coordinates

$$\mathcal{X}_i = x_i^* = x_i + B^{-1} \epsilon_{ij} \Pi_j \approx x_i, \quad \{\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_j\} = -B^{-1} \epsilon_{ij},$$

and by the decoupled in the sense of Dirac brackets noncommuting coordinates q_i^x and conjugate momenta $\mathcal{E}_i$,

$$\{q_i^x, q_j^x\} = \beta \epsilon_{ij}, \quad \{q_i^x, \mathcal{E}_j\} = \delta_{ij}.$$

The Hamiltonian of such a reduced system is

$$\mathcal{H}_{ext}^H = \frac{1}{2m} \omega_c^{-2} \mathcal{E}_i^2 - \mathcal{E}_i \mathcal{X}_i.$$

It generates the evolution corresponding to the Hall motion, $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{X}_i = \frac{1}{B} \epsilon_{ij} \mathcal{E}_j$, as well as the equations $\frac{d}{dt} q_i^x = m^{-1} \omega_c^{-2} \mathcal{E}_i - \mathcal{X}_i$, $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_i = 0$. This system possesses the symmetry corresponding to the enlarged exotic Galilei algebra (4.13) with the omitted bracket relations which include the $\bar{\Pi}_i$ and turn into zero after reduction to the surface (5.5)³. The system can be further reduced to the surface given by Eq. (5.14), and we obtain the system given in terms of the noncommuting coordinates $\mathcal{X}_i$ and the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{Hall} = -E_i \mathcal{X}_i$, which describes the Hall motion.

After reduction to the surface of the subsystem of the second class constraints (5.4), we obtain the system equivalent to the NR-anyon in extended formulation, which includes the analogs of the anyon noncommuting velocities. The only difference is in the signs of the Poisson brackets of the integrals $\bar{\Pi}_i$ in comparison with those of the NR-anyon integrals $\mathcal{V}_i$, and in the signs of the corresponding quadratic terms in Hamiltonians (2.18) and (5.1). As a result, the Hamiltonian (5.1) is positively definite, while the Hamiltonian (2.18), appearing in the higher-derivative model for the noncommutative plane [7], is not. This difference turns out to be essential at the quantum level (see the discussion in [10, 11]).

In the same vein as we constructed the extended system proceeding from the EM-particle model, one can start from the NR-anyon in a background of the constant electric and magnetic fields [8, 12]. In such a case from the very beginning we shall get the noncommuting translations and noncommuting, in a generic case, Galilei boosts. By the appropriate choice of the multiplicative parameter in the electric Chern-Simons term, the Galilei boosts of such an extended system can be made to be commuting (cf. the enlarged exotic Galilei symmetry of the *Ex*-model at $\beta = 0$). Note here that some analogous extension of the exotic particle [8] in background homogeneous electromagnetic field was discussed recently in [24]. The essential difference of the construction [24] is that there the components of electric field were treated as commuting coordinates, while analogs of our q_i 's were considered as conjugate canonical (i.e., commuting) momenta, and the integrals of motion similar to ours $\bar{\Pi}_i$, and to be analogous to the NR-anyon integrals $\mathcal{V}_i$, were ignored there (hence, see footnote 3).

We constructed and analyzed the *nonrelativistic Ex*-model associated with the systems of the EM-particle and NR-anyon proceeding from the similarity of their dynamics. A similar relation between dynamics of the relativistic particle in a homogeneous background electromagnetic field and of the relativistic model of the particle with torsion [25, 26], underlying the models for relativistic anyons [17, 18, 19], was observed in [27]. Therefore, the relation between these two relativistic systems can be investigated in the analogous way. Then, one can expect that the corresponding “unifying” extended exotic relativistic system should produce the nonrelativistic *Ex*-model under application to it of the Jackiw-Nair limit [9, 11].

The ideas of the present research, being generalized for the field case, could be applied to the nonrelativistic Chern-Simons gauge theory [28] playing a prominent role in the description of anyons and fractional quantum Hall effect (see [29] and references therein), and to the doubly special relativity [30].

Acknowledgements. MP is indebted to the University of Valladolid for hospitality extended to him, and to J. L. Cortes, A. Ferrandez and J. Negro for stimulating discussions. The authors thank R. Jackiw for communication. This work has been partially supported by the FONDECYT-Chile (projects 1010073, 7010073, 1050001 and 7050046), by the DGI of the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain (project BMF2002-02000), by the FEDER Programme of the European Community, and by the Junta de Castilla y León (project VA013C05).

³Therefore, the earlier discussed Galilei-Maxwell symmetry [15, 16] corresponds to the reduced Hall motion rather than to the generic motion of the charged particle.

References

- [1] M. Chaichian, P. P. Kulish, K. Nishijima and A. Tureanu, *On a Lorentz-invariant interpretation of noncommutative space-time and its implications on noncommutative QFT*. Phys. Lett. **B 604** (2004) 98 [[hep-th/0408069](#)]; M. Chaichian, P. Presnajder and A. Tureanu, *New concept of relativistic invariance in NC space-time: Twisted Poincare symmetry and its implications*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** (2005) 151602 [[hep-th/0409096](#)].
- [2] B. Chakraborty, S. Gangopadhyay and A. Saha, *Seiberg-Witten map and Galilean symmetry violation in a non-commutative planar system*. Phys. Rev. **D 70** (2004) 107707 [[hep-th/0312292](#)]; R. Banerjee, B. Chakraborty and K. Kumar, *Noncommutative gauge theories and Lorentz symmetry*. Phys. Rev. **D 70** (2004) 125004 [[hep-th/0408197](#)].
- [3] O. Bertolami and L. Guisado, *Noncommutative field theory and violation of translation invariance*. JHEP **0312** (2003) 013 [[hep-th/0306176](#)]; X. Calmet, *Space-time symmetries of noncommutative spaces*. Phys. Rev. **D 71** (2005) 085012 [[hep-th/0411147](#)].
- [4] C. Gónner, P. Kosinski, P. Maslanka and S. Giller, *Space-time symmetry of noncommutative field theory*. Phys. Lett. **B 622** (2005) 192 [[hep-th/0504132](#)]; *Global symmetries of noncommutative space-time*. Phys. Rev. **D 72** (2005) 067702 [[hep-th/0507054](#)].
- [5] J. Lukierski, H. Ruegg, A. Nowicki and V. N. Tolstoy, *Q deformation of Poincaré algebra*. Phys. Lett. **B 264** (1991) 331; J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki and H. Ruegg, *New quantum Poincaré algebra and k deformed field theory*. Phys. Lett. **B 293** (1992) 344.
- [6] J. A. de Azcarraga and J. C. Perez Bueno, *Relativistic and Newtonian kappa space-times*. J. Math. Phys. **36** (1995) 6879 [[q-alg/9505004](#)]; *Deformed and extended Galilei group Hopf algebras*. J. Phys. **A 29** (1996) 6353 [[q-alg/9602032](#)].
- [7] J. Lukierski, P. C. Stichel, W. J. Zakrzewski, *Galilean-invariant (2 + 1)-dimensional models with a Chern-Simons-like term and d = 2 noncommutative geometry*. Annals of Physics (N. Y.) **260** (1997) 224 [[hep-th/9612017](#)].
- [8] C. Duval and P. A. Horváthy, *The exotic Galilei group and the “Peierls substitution”*. Phys. Lett. **B 479** (2000) 284 [[hep-th/0002233](#)]; *Exotic Galilean symmetry in the non-commutative plane, and the Hall effect*. Journ. Phys. **A 34** (2001) 10097 [[hep-th/0106089](#)].
- [9] R. Jackiw and V. P. Nair, *Anyon spin and the exotic central extension of the planar Galilei group*. Phys. Lett. **B 480** (2000) 237 [[hep-th/0003130](#)].
- [10] P. A. Horváthy and M. S. Plyushchay, *Non-relativistic anyons, exotic Galilean symmetry and noncommutative plane*. JHEP **0206** (2002) 033 [[hep-th/0201228](#)].
- [11] P. A. Horvathy and M. S. Plyushchay, *Anyon wave equations and the noncommutative plane*. Phys. Lett. **B 595** (2004) 547 [[hep-th/0404137](#)].
- [12] P. A. Horvathy and M. S. Plyushchay, *Nonrelativistic anyons in external electromagnetic field*. Nucl. Phys. **B 714** (2005) 269 [[hep-th/0502040](#)].
- [13] J.-M. Lévy-Leblond, *Galilei group and Galilean invariance*. in *Group Theory and Applications* (Loebl Ed.), **II**, Acad. Press, New York, p. 222 (1972); A. Ballesteros, M. Gadella and M. del Olmo, *Moyal quantization of 2+1 dimensional Galilean systems*. Journ. Math. Phys. **33** (1992) 3379; Y. Brihaye, C. Gónner, S. Giller and P. Kosiński, *Galilean invariance in 2+1 dimensions*. [hep-th/9503046](#) (unpublished).
- [14] J. A. de Azcarraga and J. M. Izquierdo, *Lie groups, Lie Algebras, Cohomology and Some Applications in Physics*. Cambridge Univ. Press (1995).

- [15] J. Negro and M. del Olmo, *Local realizations of kinematical groups with a constant electromagnetic field. II. The nonrelativistic case.* Journ. Math. Phys. **31** (1990) 2811.
- [16] J. Negro, M. A. del Olmo and J. Tosiek, *Anyons and group theory.* In: Symmetry in Gravity and Field Theory, p. 143, V. Aldaya et al Eds. (Ediciones Universidad Salamanca, 2004); *Planar Physics and Group Theory.* In: Proceedings of the XXV Int. Colloq. on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics (Cocoyoc (Mexico), August 2004), B.K. Wolf et al Eds. (IOP, Bristol, 2005).
- [17] M. S. Plyushchay, *Relativistic model of the anyon.* Phys. Lett. **B 248** (1990) 107.
- [18] R. Jackiw and V. P. Nair, *Relativistic wave equation for anyons.* Phys. Rev. **D 43** (1991) 1933.
- [19] J. L. Cortes and M. S. Plyushchay, *Anyons as spinning particles.* Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 11** (1996) 3331 [[hep-th/9505117](#)].
- [20] D. Bigatti and L. Susskind, *Magnetic fields, branes and noncommutative geometry.* Phys. Rev. **D 62** (2000) 066004 [[hep-th/9908056](#)]; L. Mezincescu, *Star Operation in Quantum Mechanics.* [hep-th/0007046](#).
- [21] F. Ezawa, *The Quantum Hall Effects. Field theoretical approach and related topics.* World Scientific: Singapore (2000).
- [22] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of Gauge Systems.* Princeton Univ. Press (1992).
- [23] L. D. Faddeev and R. Jackiw, *Hamiltonian reduction of unconstrained and constrained systems.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **60** (1988) 1692.
- [24] P. A. Horváthy, L. Martina and P. Stichel, *Enlarged Galilean symmetry of anyons and the Hall effect.* Phys. Lett. **B 615** (2005) 87 [[hep-th/0412090](#)].
- [25] A. M. Polyakov, *Fermi-Bose transmutations induced by gauge fields.* Mod. Phys. Lett. **A3** (1988) 325.
- [26] M. S. Plyushchay, *Relativistic particle with torsion, Majorana equation and fractional spin.* Phys. Lett. **B262** (1991) 71; *The model of relativistic particle with torsion.* Nucl. Phys. **B 362** (1991) 54.
- [27] M. S. Plyushchay, *Relativistic particle with torsion and charged particle in a constant electromagnetic field: Identity of evolution.* Mod. Phys. Lett. **A 10** (1995) 1463 [[hep-th/9309147](#)].
- [28] R. Jackiw and S. Y. Pi, *Selfdual Chern-Simons solitons.* Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **107** (1992) 1; *Finite and infinite symmetries in (2+1)-dimensional field theory.* [arXiv:hep-th/9206092](#).
- [29] Z. F. Ezawa, M. Hotta and A. Iwazaki, *Breathing vortex solitons in nonrelativistic Chern-Simons gauge theory.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** (1991) 411 [Erratum-ibid. **67** (1991) 1475]; *Non-relativistic Chern-Simons vortex solitons in external magnetic field.* Phys. Rev. **D 44** (1991) 452.
- [30] G. Amelino-Camelia, *Doubly-special relativity: first results and key open problems.* Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D 11** (2002) 1643 [[gr-qc/0210063](#)]; *Relativity in space-times with short-distance structure governed by an observer-independent (Planckian) length scale.* Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D 11** (2002) 35 [[gr-qc/0012051](#)]; J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, *Lorentz invariance with an invariant energy scale.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** (2002) 190403 [[hep-th/0112090](#)]; J. Kowalski-Glikman, *Doubly special relativity and quantum gravity phenomenology.* [arXiv:hep-th/0312140](#).